

ZEW'S NEWS REVIEW

June 21, 2009

Issue # 245

WHAT DID HE SAY ?

Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu has been caught between the proverbial irresistible force and the immovable object. Obama's pressure has been unrelenting and the Arabs would accept nothing less than a Palestinian State. But his political base at home would have none of that 'Homeland for the Palestinian People' stuff either. So what's a PM to do? In a crafted response, Mr. Netanyahu seemed to try to have it both ways. While he gave his nod to the creation of a Palestinian state for the Palestinian people, it was not the fully independent national entity that either the Arabs or the Obama administration envision. His view instead, is that it should be a "demilitarized Palestinian state alongside the Jewish state". And though he offered "peace negotiations immediately, without preconditions"; - he made it clear that Jerusalem was to remain Israel's capital, that the refugees would have to give up their dreams of a return to the land and that Jewish settlements would remain in place [on Jewish land]. Still just declaring in favor of a future Palestine was a major shift in policy for him personally as well as for his coalition and drew fire as such. It also inspired virulent opposition among the Arabs.^{1 2}

Here's some of what the Prime Minister had to say. "The key condition is that the Palestinians recognize in a clear and public manner that Israel is the state of the Jewish people...If we have the guarantees on demilitarization, and if the Palestinians recognize Israel as a state of the Jewish people, then we arrive at a solution based on a demilitarized Palestinian state alongside Israel...Each will have its flag, each will have its anthem. The Palestinian territory will be without arms, will not control airspace, will not be able to have arms enter." Of course that kind of talk sent the Arab world into a frenzy. Even the perceived moderate, Egyptian President Mubarak said that "Netanyahu's demand that Palestinians recognize Israel as the Jewish state is ruining the chance for peace".³ [*That's like saying that it is somehow evil to recognize the United States as an American state. On its face, the statement is preposterous. Yet somehow this passes for wisdom in today's world.*] Now many elements of the Palestinian leadership, - even in the moderate Abbas' Fatah Party are enraged by what they see as a duplicitous game played by both Washington and the Israelis. Some of the PA's senior leadership are considering a return to the terror war as an answer to the Prime Minister's speech.⁴ Perhaps most interesting though is the response of Israel's Defense Minister Ehud Barak who believes that the newly stated policy goal will make a deal possible in about 2 years; - a time frame that fits rather nicely with President Obama's goals and predictions for the conclusion of a regional peace deal.⁵ Reports surfacing in World Net Daily would confirm this analysis. They report that the Palestinian leadership is saying that they have been given assurances from the White House that the Obama agenda is still on track and they should, - in their words, - "not take seriously into consideration Netanyahu's speech".⁶ And after all, polls show that the Israelis themselves, - tired of war, - now favor the two state solution, - no matter what Bibi says.

I believe however that we see should see Netanyahu's speech as a calculated gambit to unmask the true intentions of the Palestinian leadership and perhaps buy at least a little more time. He must have known that in saying what he did he would challenge Palestinian honor and they would respond with such virulence. They called him liar and swindler and one even said of the PM that he "cannot even dream of finding one Palestinian to talk to". And that perhaps was the idea all along. The Prime Minister does not want to cave in to President Obama's and the world's demands. And by just approvingly uttering the words "Palestinian state", Netanyahu may have boxed Palestinian Authority officials into appearing to be the ones that are rejecting peace. Prophecy writer Joel Rosenberg hits it right on the head when he states of the Arab leadership; - "they don't really believe in 'two states for two peoples'".

They cannot accept a demilitarized Palestine, because their entire purpose is to destroy e Israel itself; - whether it be through massive immigration or military conquest.^{7 8}

All of this brings us to the prophetic meaning of these events! Looking at Psalm 83, various passages in Isaiah and the writings of Obadiah, it seems clear that there is a war coming between an inner ring of Arab states, - including the Palestinians, Hezbollah, Syria et al and the state of Israel. It will result in the annihilation of the Palestinian people, the destruction of Damascus, - (probably nuclear), and the vanquishing of all of Israel's closest neighbor enemies. At the conclusion of that war Israel will occupy the land that God has assigned to them in the Scriptures. **“The house of Jacob shall possess their possessions. The house of Jacob shall be a fire, And the house of Joseph a flame; But the house of Esau shall be stubble; They shall kindle them and devour them, And no survivor shall remain of the house of Esau,”** (Obadiah 1:17-18) [When we read the passage above, recall that God changed Jacob's name to Israel, - and that the **“house of Esau”** refers to the Palestinians.] What we are likely seeing now in the set up of this artificial Palestinian state is the entity that will eventually act to seize from Israel the land that will remain after the US and the world force yet one more land give away. Scripture seems to indicate that this 'kingdom' will not last long. Here are the words of Isaiah 34:12. **“They shall call its nobles to the kingdom, But none shall be there, and all its princes shall be nothing.”**

KOREA: PART OF THE PLAN

To set the stage for what we're going to talk about with regard to North Korea it would be helpful to recall the plan of the Illuminati types as revealed by Peter Lemesurier in his 1981 book, *The Armageddon Script*. That work reveals some of the details of the scheme to enthrone a world government under a New Age Christ. According to its author, this is all part of a plan that has been in effect for a long time now. The Illuminati believe that mankind needs to maneuver events to achieve their aims, which include preparing, conditioning and capturing the world for the Antichrist and his handlers. Here are the details for just the first part of the plan.

- **Arab Israeli War** - Israel wins an annihilating victory, fulfilling many Biblical prophecies.
- **Catastrophic Terror** – Major terror attacks against the US & Europe using WMDs.
- **Nuclear Crisis In Korea** - Lemesurier writes, “A hair raising nuclear confrontation in Korea may, towards the end of the period, threaten mankind's very survival”.

Their plan goes on to talk about China taking Taiwan and stock market crashes and other events, but I want to focus on the third point; - the nuclear crisis in Korea. While it might have been easy to envision yet another Arab-Israeli War and even WMD terror, - a nuclear North Korea, challenging the US and threatening “mankind's very survival” is a bit more far fetched. But as Lemesurier assured us, to those seeking the New World Order, - all of this has been worked out already. “The Script has now been written.”⁹

So keeping that in mind, here's is what we've got. North Korea seized two female American reporters and sentenced them to 12 years at hard labor. They've been on a spree of missile and nuclear tests. The UN Security Council responded to that by expanding the scope of the arms embargo and authorizing ship searches of suspect vessels while in international waters. Upping the ante, Pyongyang said it would consider a blockade to be an “act of war” and threatened to turn all of its plutonium into nuclear weapons.¹⁰ Almost immediately permanent Security Council member China warned that “Under no circumstances should there be the use of force or the threat of the use of force”, by nations when stopping and inspecting the ships.¹¹ Emboldened, North Korea immediately began to warn of the possibility of nuclear war should the US or its allies engage in such actions.¹² So, is this a confrontation with an infant nuclear power with very limited and untested capabilities? That's what many would have us believe, however evidence going back several years would indicate that they are more 'nuclear capable' than one might think. And the attitude of the North Koreans has changed dramatically in recent months.

Gone are the days when ‘Dear Leader’, Kim Jong Il would commit some provocation and then wait for his ‘cookie’, - some sort of cave in by a US President in the way of appeasement. Now what we’re seeing is almost rapid fire escalation. It seems the objective may have changed.¹³ North Korean analyst Kim Myong Chol was reporting back in May that the regime in Pyongyang had changed objectives. The DPRK (Democratic Peoples Republic of Korea [the North]), is now trying to become a full fledged world power. It wants to be a triple threat, if you will; - a member of the atomic, space and economic clubs by 2012. (This later is a bit of stretch.) The writer Kim believes that North Korea already has an “independent global nuclear strike force” capable of hitting “the metropolitan US”, not just Japan and the South Korea.¹⁴ And he’s not the only one that thinks so.

While the general reports of the DPRK’s second nuclear test this last May have downplayed the size and power of the event, some believe that it was just a “fission trigger for multi-megaton warheads”. And Kim may be just the man to contemplate actually using such a weapon in modern times. After all, he has promised “total war for total war, nuclear war for nuclear war”. Just earlier this month, a state run newspaper threatened to use atomic weapons as a “merciless means of offense” for anyone who “dares infringe upon the dignity” of the country.¹⁵ The theory that the Pyongyang was acquiring a hydrogen bomb capability was being floated at least as early as 2006 in such mainstream press outlets as the Weekly Standard. Then this spring, in a fast-paced series of moves, short, intermediate and long-range missiles were tested without warning in quick succession. An ICBM was launched on April 29th. Now, the Asia Times reports that the North Korean General Staff was getting on a war footing for strikes against South Korea, Japan and the American mainland. The projected attacks could take the form of 4 separate types of bombing raids.

- The bombing of nuclear power stations on the coasts of Japan and the United States. (This would be relatively easy to pull off and would yield great results for the amount of risk taken.)
- Secondly, detonating hydrogen bombs off the coasts to generate tsunami like conditions.
- Thirdly, blowing off nuclear devices in the atmosphere to create the EMP effect. This would take out civilian and some military high-tech electronic systems. It would compromise the ability of the US to respond to enemy attacks and shut down the electrical grid. That in turn would knock the economy back into the 19th century; - shutting down the food storage (refrigeration) and distribution and transport systems.
- Finally, a crippled America would be vulnerable to thermonuclear attacks on its urban centers.

The fact is however, that North Korea is not likely limited to the five or six nuclear weapons with which they are so often credited. They began their quest for nuclear weaponry back in 1956. In 2003 Pyongyang warned that it could “immediately carry the war to the US mainland”, threatening to light up New York, Washington and Chicago. At that time the Sydney Morning Herald was reporting that they already had an arsenal of at least 100 warheads. Kim Myong Chol confirms as much believing them to be in possession of somewhere between 100 to 300 devices. With the recent test of their longest range missiles, that means they should be able to hit not just Alaska and the West Coast of the US, - but much the mainland – almost all the way to the East Coast.^{16 17 18} Now the size and deliverability of the North’s nuclear arsenal is in some dispute. The declaration by South Korea’s president that Washington and Seoul will not allow Pyongyang to become a nuclear is not only disingenuous but also flat out wrong. After all, they’ve already been testing nuclear warheads and missiles with which to deliver them. Former UN Ambassador for the Bush administration, John Bolton for instance is of the opinion that they do have some nukes, - that they may or may not be able to effectively fire via missiles, - but would be deliverable through other means, - such as secreting them in the hold of ship.¹⁹ As this newsletter was going to press, the situation was heating up again. President Obama was calling North Korea a “grave threat” and promising vigorous enforcement of the sanctions. North Korea for its part was promising a “thousand fold” response to any provocation.²⁰ At the same time Pyongyang is readying an additional launch site capable of firing off missiles capable of striking the US.²¹ The crisis, - predicted and called for by the Illuminati types back in 1981, - is seemingly taking shape.

THE MULLAHS ARE IN COMMAND !

Doubt it not! The theocracy, - the Mullah's, - the guys in the funny hats with the big beards, - who believe that the Mahdi is on his way, - and that they have to help him come to power, - are in command, - in Tehran, - in Iran. The election was mighty suspect, - but it will stand. However, pressured by the hundreds of thousands that took to the streets, - the Ayatollah Khamenei has ordered a recount in some disputed districts; - but no new elections, - and the results will stand. President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad will remain in power to pursue his last days agenda that champions a nuclear Iran, directly challenges the West, - and periodically calls for the destruction of Israel in its quest to be the top dog in the region and the leader of Islam.

The Iranian regime is starting to clamp down on the street demonstrations, afraid that factional leaders from the halcyon days of the Islamic Revolution will foment a civil war.²² Dozens of casualties filled the emergency rooms after elements of the Revolutionary Guards loyal to the regime, - aided by militia, - fired on the opposition protesters. Iranian media admits that 7 were killed in Tehran alone. In one confrontation riot police began firing on a group of youths returning from the protests. Witnesses testified government forces were using "big guns...blowing off hands, limbs. It was terrible".²³ The Jerusalem Post reports that Palestinian members of Hamas were "helping the Iranian authorities crush street protests". Now the unrest has begun to spread to other cities besides Tehran.²⁴ Following the opposition rallies, supporters of the re-elected Ahmadinejad massed in the same squares to prevent further action by the opposition. Still hundreds of thousands continued their protests despite violent and repressive measures and the threat that the "ringleaders" of the movement will face the death penalty unless the demonstrations ceased.²⁵

The bottom line on the whole thing was that what Joel Rosenberg describes as the "apocalyptic, genocidal death cult" of Ahmadinejad and Khamenei remains for the present, firmly in control. Then there's the fact, that even if the 'good guy' wins: He's really not such a good guy. The losing candidate in the election was Mir Hossein Mousavi, a member of the 1979 Islamic Revolution that brought the Ayatollah Khomeini to power. He oversaw the execution of 7,000 opponents in just 3-weeks time. And he is a firm believer in the same radical Islamic agenda that drives policy in Tehran right now. Iranian foreign policy, - toward Israel and the US, - would not change under Mousavi.^{26 27 28 29}

It is a lesson that should be learned by the Obama administration. You cannot negotiate with these guys. European led negotiations have produced nothing over the last six years. The regime in Tehran has an agenda and they intend to pursue that agenda. This is very bad news for Israel, for the region, and for the United States. The election results means that probably sooner, - rather than later, - the Israelis will have to deal with the Iranian nuclear threat, - or live a short, nervous life in its shadow, - with a major war with Iran an ever-closer inevitability. An atomic Iran, with its support of Hezbollah and Hamas will color any negotiations between Israelis and Palestinians. And should Israel strike, - even if such an attack were wildly successful, - it would usher in an unimaginable economic crisis as the world's oil supplies would be threatened, and the economy of the West and the globe for that matter, - seriously disrupted.

THREE QUICK THINGS

1. **INTERNET RADIO** – wnyradio.com – Show called 'Heal The Land' with Rob Palaszewski & Lynn Zankl – Everyday – (same show all week) – 8AM / 8PM / 4AM – Check it out!
2. **FEEDBACK** – WE WANT SOME – E-mail us back with questions, comments, suggestions – robpalaszewski@atpministry.com – By the way – you just can't hit reply to the newsletter sending that comes to your e-mail - Please visit our website at ATPministry.com
3. **TELEVISION MINISTRY** – Gearing up for a launch sometime this fall. We could use your prayers and if God lays it on your heart, - your donations to get this thing going. Please designate your donations for Zew's News Review and send to My Father's House – 140 Pound Rd – Elma, NY - 14054

¹ Netanyahu defies Obama..., London Times, June 15, 2009

² Israel's Netanyahu Calls for Creation Palestinian State..., FOX News, June 14, 2009

³ 'Netanyahu is ruining peace chances', Jerusalem Post, June 15, 2009

⁴ Enraged Palestinians weigh return to terror for Netanyahu speech, DEBKAFfile, June 15, 2009

⁵ Barak: Netanyahu speech enables progress towards deal in 2 years, YNET News, June 15, 2009

⁶ 'US told us don't take Netanyahu seriously', World Net Daily, June 15, 2009

⁷ Khaled Abu Toameh, Analysis: why was PA reaction to Netanyahu's speech so harsh?, Jerusalem Post, June 16, 2009

⁸ Joel Rosenberg, Weekend Analysis: War Is The Likely Outcome Of The Iranian 'Elections', Blog, June 15, 2009

⁹ The preceding adapted from: Peter Lemesurier, The Armageddon Script, 1981, pg 222 and following

¹⁰ UN imposes tough new sanctions on North Korea, AP, June 13, 2009

¹¹ China Warns Against Force..., Bloomberg, June 13, 2009

¹² N Korea Warns of Nuclear War, AP, June 14, 2009

¹³ Craig Smith, Assessing North Korea's threats, (Interview with Bruce Klingner, former chief of the CIA's Korea Branch, Pittsburgh Tribune-Review, June 13, 2009

¹⁴ Kim Jong-il shifts to plan B, Asia Times, May 21, 2009

¹⁵ Moin Ansari, Why the US can never attack North Korea, Century China, May 25, 2009

¹⁶ Kim Myong Chol, Nuclear war is Kim Jong-il's game plan, Asia Times, June 12, 2009

¹⁷ Pyongyang: We'll put a torch to New York, Sydney Morning Herald, March 8, 2003

¹⁸ David Bay, North Korea Has 100 Nuclear Warhead Arsenal..., Cutting Edge News #1781

¹⁹ Ambassador John Bolton on Greta Van Susteren's show on FOX News, June 16, 2009

²⁰ Morning Edition, NPR, June 17, 2009

²¹ North Korea warns the US of retaliation, Jerusalem Post, June 17, 2009

²² Iran teeters on brink of civil war, DEBKA-NET-WEEKLY, June 16, 2009

²³ Hardliners open fire on defiant protesters..., London Times, June 16, 2009

²⁴ Protesters to 'Post': Hamas helping Iran crush dissent, Jerusalem Post, June 17, 2009

²⁵ Iranian prosecutor: Protest leaders may get death penalty, Jerusalem Post, June 17, 2009

²⁶ Ambassador John Bolton on Greta Van Susteren's show on FOX News, June 16, 2009

²⁷ Barak: Mousavi is a fundamentalist too, Jerusalem Post, June 17, 2009

²⁸ Joel Rosenberg, Weekend Analysis: War Is The Likely Outcome Of The Iranian 'Elections', Blog, June 15, 2009

²⁹ Dr Rob Sobhian on Greta Van Susteren, FOX News, June 16, 2009